## Remarks

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejection of the claims in view of the remarks set forth below. Claims 1-8 and 17-20 remain in the application. Claims 9-16 were previously canceled. Claims 1-8 and 17-19 were previously presented. Claim 20 remains unchanged.

## 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1-8 and 17-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Van de Kerkhof (US 5,995,493) in view of Ishizu (US 5,757,862). Under U.S.C. § 103, the references must expressly or impliedly suggest the claimed invention or the examiner must present a convincing line of reasoning as to why the artisan would have found the claimed invention to be obvious in light of the teachings of the references (MPEP § 706.02(j)).

Claim 1 recites a "digital radio frequency (RF) circuit that creates a signal in a desired range in a frequency spectrum, comprising... circuitry that produces a first sample data modulated signal having a first frequency and a first sample data clock rate... an up-sampler modulator that receives the first sample data modulated signal and produces a second sample data modulated signal having a second frequency and a second sample data clock rate... and circuitry that receives the first sample data modulated signal and the second sample data modulated signal and delivers one of the first sample data modulated signal and the second sample data modulated signal for further processing depending on which sample data modulated signal exhibits desirable characteristics for a given operating environment." (Emphasis added).

The "circuitry that receives the first sample data modulated signal and the second sample data modulated signal and delivers one of the first sample data modulated signal and the second sample data modulated signal for further processing depending on which sample data modulated signal exhibits desirable characteristics for a given operating environment" element of claim 1 is an important aspect of Applicant's claimed invention. As discussed on page 6, lines 21-29 of Applicant's application:

The use of two clock modes in accordance with the present invention may result in a number of advantages. One advantage is that power consumption savings may be obtained by using the lower clock rate circuitry without the need to replicate circuitry. Another advantage is that EMI emissions are different for the two modes of operation. This gives system designers flexibility to choose whichever mode of operation is most compatible with a desired EMI profile. A third advantage is that dynamic range (analog resolution) of the inchannel IF signal for the low clock rate mode is the same as the dynamic range of the inchannel IF signal for the high clock rate mode, even though the signal levels are different.

In other words, the present invention permits a system designer to have flexibility in adjusting the characteristics (e.g., power consumption, EMI emission profile, etc.) of a digital RF circuit when the RF circuit contains the "circuitry that receives the first sample data modulated signal and the second sample data modulated signal and delivers one of the first sample data modulated signal and the second sample data modulated signal for further processing depending on which sample data modulated signal exhibits desirable characteristics for a given operating environment" (emphasis added) element of claim 1.

Van de Kerkhof appears to be directed towards providing an extension to the format of a transmission signal such that a digital signal is transmitted having both a lowpass filtered and downsampled component having a sampling frequency f<sub>s2</sub> and a high frequency component having a sampling frequency  $f_{s1}$ . (Col. 1, lines 24-29; col. 4, lines 27-30, 40-42, and 62-67; and FIG. 1). Using the transmission signal of Van de Kerkhof, legacy receivers recover the digital signal by only retrieving and processing the lowpass filtered and downsampled component of the transmitted signal (FIG 3; col. 2, lines 20-25; col. 3, line 66 to col. 6, line 7) while Van de Kerkhof-based receivers recover the digital signal by retrieving and processing both the lowpass filtered and downsampled component and the high frequency component of the transmitted signal (Fig. 2; col. 5, lines 29-65). As a result, Van de Kerkhof appears to teach the transmitting or further processing of a signal having both a first signal component having a sampling frequency f<sub>s1</sub> and a second downsampled signal component having sampling frequency f<sub>52</sub>. Indeed, as noted on page 3 of the July 10, 2008 office action, "Van de Kerkhof however, does not explicitly disclose circuitry that receives the first and second modulated signal delivers one of the modulated signal depending on which sample data modulated signal exhibits desirable characteristics for a given operating environment."

The office action proposes that Ishizu discloses the element missing in Van de Kerkhof. Applicant respectfully disagrees. As shown in Figs. 2A and 2B and discussed in column 7, lines 28-32, the Ishizu selector 24 receives signals R(nT) and D(nT) and outputs signals Ic and Qc. As explicitly shown in Fig. 2B, Ic and Qc are either R(t) and D(t), -D(t) and R(t), -R(t) and -D(t), or D(t) and -R(t). Therefore, selector 24 always outputs both R(t) and D(t) and never outputs only one of R(t) or D(t). In contrast to Ishizu, claim 1 recites, inter alia, "circuitry that receives the first sample data modulated signal and the second sample data modulated signal and delivers one of the first sample data modulated signal and the second sample data modulated signal exhibits desirable characteristics for a given operating environment."

As a result, Applicants respectfully propose that the combination of Van de Kerkhof and Ishizu, fail to suggest the "circuitry that receives the first sample data modulated signal and the second sample data modulated signal and delivers one of the first sample data modulated signal and the second sample data modulated signal for further processing depending on which sample data modulated signal exhibits desirable characteristics for a given operating environment" element of claim 1. Therefore, it is respectfully proposed that the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is overcome in accordance with the above remarks and notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Dependent claims 2-8 being dependent on and further limiting independent claim 1, should be allowable for that reason, as well as for the additional recitations that they contain. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejection of the claims in view of the above remarks.

Independent claim 17 contains elements similar to independent claim 1 and should be allowable for the reasons discussed above. Therefore, it is respectfully proposed that the rejection for obviousness is overcome.

Dependent claims 18-20 being dependent on and further limiting independent claim 17, should be allowable for that reason, as well as for the additional recitations that they contain. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejection of the claims in view of the above remarks.

Having fully addressed the Examiner's rejections it is believed that, in view of the preceding remarks, this application stands in condition for allowance. Accordingly then, reconsideration and allowance are respectfully solicited. If, however, the Examiner is of the opinion that such action cannot be taken, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicants' attorney at (818) 260-3727, so that a mutually convenient date and time for a telephonic interview may be scheduled.

No fees, other than those discussed above, are believed due. However, if a fee is due, please charge the additional fee to Deposit Account 07-0832.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Vincent E. Duffy

Reg. No. 39,964

Phone (818) 260-3727

Patent Operations
THOMSON Licensing LLC
P.O. Box 5312
Princeton, New Jersey 08543-5312
January 9, 2009

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this ameradment is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on:

1/09/09

Vincent E. Duffy

MAILING TO U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PATENT OPERATIONS Serial No. 10/572,695 Filed: 3/21/06

Inventor(s): DAND LOWELL MCLARCHY WITH MUTTING Institute Institute

Report to Data Base Docket No PU030265

APPLICATION AS FILED

**Enter Number** 

Enter Date

Check Type
Original-US
Nat!
Divisional

Patent No. Atty: Vincent E. Duffy

Statement under CFR § 1.56-013M Check Items Mailed with Application Assignment & Recordation Sheet Preliminary Amendment Declaration

Continuation CPA/RCE

Independent
Claims
Claims in Excess
20
Claim Pages
Specification Pgs

١		cation		100/60/1	FEES		ģ	ġ.	Ġ.	κρ. 136(a)	кр. 136(а) it of Fee	кр. 136(а) nt of Fee	Kp. 136(a) It of Fee orm in AMT.	AMT.	Kp. 136(a) It of Fee orm in AMT. 7, 0 0 ER	AMT.	Kp. 136(a) It of Fee orm in AMT. 7, 0 0 ER Atty/Agent & Record	KP. 136(a) 116 Fee orm in 7, 0 0 EER Atty/Agent & Record	KP. 136(a) It of Fee orn in AMT. 7,00 IER Atty/Agent & Record	KP. 136(a) It of Fee om in AMT. 7,00 IER Atty/Agent & Record
		<b>Express Mail Application</b>	).:		1	Filing Fee Exp.	leeno Foo	pa i aneci	po i poss	Ext Time§ 1.136(a)	Ext Time§ 1.136(a) Add.Payment of Fee	Ext Time§ 1.136(a) Add Payment of Fee Trans.Form in duplic.	Ext Time§ 1.136(a) Add.Payment of Fee Fee Trans.Form in duplic. TOFAL FEE AMT.	Ext Time§ 1.136 Add.Payment of Fee Trans.Form duplic. TOTAL FEE AM OTHER	Ext Time§ 1.136(a) Add.Payment of Fee Fee Trans.Form in duplic. TOTAL FEE AMT. OTHER Appointment Atty/Agent	Ext Time§ 1.136(a) Add.Payment of Fee Fee Trans.Form in duplic. TOJAL FEE AMT. OTHER Appointment & Record form	Ext Time§ 1.  Add.Paymer Fee Trans.F.  duplic.  TOJAL FEE OTH Appointment form Letter to PO	Ext Time§ 1.136(a) Add.Payment of Fee Fee Trans. Form in duplic.  TOTAL FEE AMT. OTHER Appointment Atty/Ag, Assignment & Reconform Letter to PO Notif. of Foreign Ref	Ext Time§ 1.136(a) Add.Payment of Fee Fee Trans.Form in duplic.  TOMAL FEE AMT.  OTHER Appointment Atty/Age Assignment & Record form Letter to PO Notif. of Foreign Ref. Correction Of Record	Ext Time§ 1 Add.Paymer Fee Trans.Fee
		Expr	Label No.:	Date Deposited:	Due								Charge	Charge	Charge	Charge	Charge	Charge	Charge	Charge
	Si	ittal		in duplicate	Mailed					1/09/09	1/09/09	109/04	1/04/04	1/09/09	1/09/04	1/09/09	1/09/04	1/09/04	1/09/09	1/09/09
Priority Document -	IDS 1449 with References	Utility Application Transmittal		Fee Transmittal Sheet in duplicate	APPEALS	Notice of Appeals	l Brief		Brief	Reply Brief Pet. To Withdraw.	y Brief To Withdraw. REQUESTS	Reply Brief Pet. To Withdraw. REQUESTS Ext. Time§1.136(b)	Reply Brief Pet. To Withdraw. REQUESTS Ext. Time§1.136(b) Cert. of Correction	Brief EQUESTS ne§1.136(b) If Correction OTHER	Reply Brief Pel. To Withdraw. REQUESTS Ext.Time§1.136(b) Cert. of Correction OTHER Statement NASA	Reply Brief REQUESTS REQUESTS Ext Time§ 1.136(b) Cert. of Correction OTHER Statement NASA Terminal Disclaimer	Reply Brief Pel. To Withdraw. REQUESTS Ext.Time§1.136(b) Cert. of Correction OTHER Statement NASA Terminal Disclaimer Claim Disclaimer	Brief  EQUESTS  re§1.136(b)  oTHER  ent NASA  al Disclaimer  Letter	Brief  EQUESTS  re§1.136(b)  oTHER  ent NASA  al Disclaimer  Letter  ation	Reply Brief Pet. To Withdraw. REQUESTS Ext. Time§1.136(b) Cert. of Correction OTHER Statement NASA Terminal Disclaimer Claim Disclaimer Status Letter Declaration Suppl. Declaration
Priority	IDS 14	Utility /		Fee T	<u> </u>	Notice	Appeal Brief		Reply Brief	Reply Pet. To	Reply Pet. To	Reply Pet. Tr	Reply Pet. To R Ext. Tin	Reply   Pet. Tc   R   Ext. Tin   Cert. o	Reply   Pat. To R Ext. Tin Ext. Tin Statem	Reply Pet. Tr	Reply Pet. Tr R Ext. Tin Cert. o Stater Termic	Reply Brief Pet. To Withc REQUIE Ext.Time§1.1 Cert. of Corre OTH Statement IN Terminal Disc	Reply Brief Pet. To With Ext. Time§1.  Cert. of Con OTH Statement N Terminal Discle Claim Discle Status Lette Declaration	Reply Pet. Tr. Ext.Tin Staterr Termin Claim   Status Status Status Status
		ıaı			Due															
Reissue	Re-Exam	US Provisional			Mailed															
					NTS		uc	_	R312	R312	R312	R312 aftsperson ion(s)	R312 (straightful to the control of	R312 iffisperson iion(s) al Dwg(s)	R312 infisperson informal Dwg(s)	R312 infisperson ion(s) al Dwg(s) c)	R312 infisperson ion(s) al Dwg(s) c)	R312 iffsperson infsperson ion(s) al Dwg(s) c) c)	ce U/R312 II InDraftsperson orrection(s) Formal Dwg(s) File 35USC119 DE order §1.56 references	R312 iffsperson integration (C119 ic156 ences ig
Sheets of Drawings	Abstract Pages				AMENDMENTS	After Rejection	After Final Rejection		After Allowance U/R312	After Allowance U/I Supplemental	After Allowance U/I Supplemental Voluntary	After Allowance UR312 Supplemental Voluntary Letter to ExamDraftsperson w/Drawing Correction(s)	After Allowance UR312 Supplemental Voluntary Letter to Exam/Draftsperson w/Drawing Correction(s) Pg(s). of Formal Dwg(s)	After Allowance U/F Supplemental Voluntary Letter to ExamDral w/Drawing Correctil Pg(s). of Form	After Allowance U/I Supplemental Voluntary Letter to Exam/Dra w/Drawing Correctl Pg(s). of Form OTHER	After Allowance UR312 Supplemental Voluntary Letter to ExamDraftsper w/Drawing Correction(s) Pg(s). of Formal Dw OTHER Lic. To For. File Reg. Priority 35USC119	After Allowance U/I Supplemental Voluntary Letter to Exam/Dra w/Drawing Correctl Pg(s). of Form OTHER Lic. To For. File Reg. Priority 35U/S	After Allowance UR312 Supplemental Voluntary Letter to Exam/Draftspe w/Drawing Correction(s Pg(s). of Formal D OTHER Lic. To For. File Reg. Priority 35USC116 Statement DOE	After Allowance U/I Supplemental Voluntary Letter to Exam/Dra w/Drawing Conecti Pg(s). of Form DTHER Lic. To For. File Reg. Priority 35U/S Statement DOE Statement under § IDS w/ refere	After Allowance URS: Supplemental Voluntary Letter to Exam/Drafts w/Drawing Correction Pg(s). of Formal DTHER Lic. To For. File Reg. Priority 35USC: Statement UNGE Statement under §1.: IDS w/ referen Certificate of Mailing
				Charge	Due	80/01/01														
					Mailed	100/1001	-													